

	Variation No.6
---	-------------------------

Who are you:	Private Individual
Name:	Patricia O Keefe
Reference:	VAR6-122637
Submission Made	January 16, 2026 12:28 PM

Choose one or more categories for your submission. Please also select which settlement you wish to make a submission / observation about.

- Chapter 1 – Proposed Variation No. 6

Write your observations here:

My observations are as follows:-

The published Proposal for Variation No.6

- 1) The explanation for calculation of these huge increases in density relating to Enniskerry is not given.
- 2) Our village is being classified as a large town compared to Roundwood which has a far more facilities including a village store, is classified as 'Small Medium Town edge' with density per hectare of 25 to 40. It would be useful for our community to understand why this is.

Impact to Enniskerry Village:

- 1) The densities proposed require High-Capacity Public Transport. Enniskerry is served only by low-frequency bus routes. The village has no rail or DART link. The high densities noted in the proposed variation will further increase the traffic gridlock on the R117 and R760, creating a public safety risk for emergency vehicle access. These routes are also served by Coillte who have over 5 forestry sites west

of the village and the only exit for HGV trucks is through the village.

2) Increasing density by 2 to 5 times without a costed plan for a proper sustainable infrastructure for transport result in an unsustainable surge in traffic contradicting the Wicklow County Councils Climate Action Plan 2024.

Impact to Knocksink Woods SAC.

It is inconceivable that higher densities will not significantly or otherwise negatively impact the Tufa Spring regime, (protected under EU Habitats Directive and Irish Law,) in Knocksink Woods, Special Area of Conservation (SAC) when the evidence and concerns provided by independent experts and NPWS have clearly stated to the contrary.

In conclusion.

- The Proposed Variation No.6 is ambiguous and confusing.
- Enniskerry has experienced material development growth that is having adverse effects on the fabric of the village.
- Knocksink Woods SAC as a protected nature reserve under the EU Habitats directive cannot be undermined by not adhering to the evidence stated here in our observation. Allowing increased density levels near this Special Area of Conservation will only further increase the risk of damage to its qualifying interests and incur penalties from the EU.

I ask that the proposed Variation No. 6 be:-

- Explained more fully and proper public consultation held for communities and the deadline for submissions be rescheduled to allow this (Publishing before the Christmas period was unhelpful).
- Amend the Enniskerry status to Rural Town or Village.
- Density per hectare of not greater than 25.
- Revisit the Parknasilloge AA2 designated lands be rezoned for community use. (Recreation/ Conservation).
- Revisit the environmental Appropriate Assessment for Knocksink Woods and reflect the view of the National Parks and Wildlife Service in their previous

submissions in relation to planning matters at AA2 lands, Parknasillogue.

Upload a File (optional)

Submission to Variation No 6 Wicklow CDP v1.0.docx, 3.25MB

Submission to Variation No.6 of the Wicklow CDP 2022-2028

Thank you for asking for submissions on the Proposed Variation (No.6), to the County Wicklow County Development Plan. I would like to make the following submission.

Introduction

Our community supports sustainable housing, as is testified by the number of developments completed and in progress to date, in and around Enniskerry Village, however the risks of further overdevelopment that the adoption of the proposed variation No.6 will cause, is to further damage the fabric of the village including the community life using the village.

The proposed changing Enniskerry status to a 'Key Town / Large Town or Small / Medium Town Centre', along with increased densities of over 100% according to the Table 6.1 in the Proposal, are of deep concern.

My observations are as follows:-

The published Proposal for Variation No.6

- 1) The explanation for calculation of these huge increases in density relating to Enniskerry is not given.
- 2) Our village is being classified as a large town compared to Roundwood which has a far more facilities including a village store, is classified as 'Small Medium Town edge' with density per hectare of 25 to 40. It would be useful for our community to understand why this is.

Impact to Enniskerry Village:

- 1) The densities proposed require High-Capacity Public Transport. Enniskerry is served only by low-frequency bus routes. The village has no rail or DART link. The high densities noted in the proposed variation will further increase the traffic gridlock on the R117 and R760, creating a public safety risk for emergency vehicle access. These routes are also served by Coillte who have over 5 forestry sites west of the village and the only exit for HGV trucks is through the village.
- 2) Increasing density by 2 to 5 times without a costed plan for a proper sustainable infrastructure for transport result in an unsustainable surge in traffic contradicting the Wicklow County Councils Climate Action Plan 2024.

Impact to Knocksink Woods SAC.

It is inconceivable that higher densities will not significantly or otherwise negatively impact the Tufa Spring regime, (protected under EU Habitats Directive and Irish Law,) in Knocksink Woods, Special Area of Conservation (SAC) when the evidence and concerns provided by independent experts and NPWS have clearly stated to the contrary.

In conclusion.

- The Proposed Variation No.6 is ambiguous and confusing.
- Enniskerry has experienced material development growth that is having adverse effects on the fabric of the village.
- Knocksink Woods SAC as a protected nature reserve under the EU Habitats directive cannot be undermined by not adhering to the evidence stated here in our observation. Allowing increased density levels near this Special Area of Conservation will only further increase the risk of damage to its qualifying interests and incur penalties from the EU.

I ask that the proposed Variation No. 6 be:-

- Explained more fully and proper public consultation held for communities and the deadline for submissions be rescheduled to allow this (Publishing before the Christmas period was unhelpful).
- **Amend the Enniskerry status to Rural Town or Village.**
- Density per hectare of not greater than 25.
- Revisit the Parknasilloge AA2 designated lands be rezoned for community use. (Recreation/ Conservation).
- Revisit the environmental Appropriate Assessment for Knocksink Woods and reflect the view of the National Parks and Wildlife Service in their previous submissions in relation to planning matters at AA2 lands, Parknasillogue.

END.